كـفـــاءة المختـبـرات LABORATORIES PROFICIENCY

المؤتمـــر الخليجـــي الأول لكفــــاءة المختـبــرات FIRST GCC CONFERENCE FOR LABORATORIES PROFICIENCY



ISO/IEC 17043 Conformity assessment – General requirements for proficiency testing

Daniel W. Tholen, M.S.



Brief History of PT Standards

- 1945-1982 Interlaboratory Comparisons
 - Method validation, reference material verification
 - 1963 PT (US medical CAP)
- 1984 ISO/IEC Guide 43 1st Edition
- 1993 IUPAC Harmonized Protocol (1st version)
- 1997 ISO/IEC Guide 43-1 and 43-2
- 2000: ILAC G13 (1st version)
- 2006: IUPAC Harmonized Protocol (2nd version)
- 2007: ILAC G13 (2nd version)
- 2010: ISO/IEC 17043

Related PT Standards

- 2005: ISO 13528: Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by interlaboratory comparisons
 - From ISO Technical Committee 69: Application of Statistical Methods
 - Follows ISO Guide 43-1 (1997)
 - Currently under revision (2014?)
- 2011: Eurachem Guide Selection, Use and Interpretation of Proficiency Testing (PT) Schemes by Laboratories

Fundamentals of ISO/IEC 17043

- Management System Requirements similar to ISO/IEC 17025
 - Updated to conform to ISO 9001: 2008
- Technical Requirements revised from ILAC G13:2007 and ISO Guide 43-1
- Three Informative annexes

A: Types of proficiency testing schemes

B: Statistical methods for proficiency testing

C: Selection and use of proficiency testing

Fundamentals of ISO/IEC 17043

- Standard is from ISO Conformity Assessment Committee (CASCO)
 - PT is "conformity assessment activity"
 - CASCO Neutrality Policy applies
 - No requirement for means of recognition of conformance
 - No requirement for accreditation of laboratories
- Can be confusing for interpretation of related
 Standards from other ISO Committees
 - Technical Committees (e.g., ISO TC69)
 - REMCO (especially ISO Guides 34 and 35)

Scope

- Requirements meant to apply broadly
 - Testing and calibration laboratories
 - Inspection bodies
 - Individuals
 - Includes PT for sampling
- Normative documents
 - ISO/IEC 17000: 2004 Conformity assessment
 - ISO/IEC Guide 99:2007 (VIM)

Definition of Proficiency Testing

- Evaluation of participant performance against preestablished criteria by means of interlaboratory comparisons
 - Quantitative or qualitative
 - Sequential or simultaneous
 - Single occasion or continuous
 - Sampling, data transformation, interpretation

Definition of ILC

Interlaboratory comparison: "organization,
performance and evaluation of measurements or
tests on the same or similar items by two or more
laboratories in accordance with predetermined
conditions"

PT (and ILC):

- Predetermined conditions of operation
- Two or more laboratories
- Predetermined criteria for evaluation



Definitions of Interest

- Customer: has a contractual arrangement with PTP
- Outlier: statistical definition (not just z>3)
- Participant: laboratory, organization, or individual
- Subcontractor: organization or individual engaged...
 to perform activities specified in the Standard and
 that affect quality of the PT scheme
 - Sometimes difficult to differentiate from "Supplier"
- Metrological traceability (same as VIM)
- Measurement uncertainty (same as VIM)

Management System Requirements

- A few important differences
 - 5.1.4, impartiality: recognizes that PT providers might be associated with an accredited laboratory, or an organization that has competitors
 - **5.1.5**, expertise have access to statistical expertise
 - 5.5, subcontracting is very different than for a laboratory
 - Demonstrate competence of subcontractor
 - Can not subcontract areas of core competence
 - Design/planning
 - Evaluation of performance
 - Release of final reports

- 4.2.4 Specific authorizations of personnel (a-k)
- 4.4.1 Planning as a core competence (a-u)
- 4.4.3 Homogeneity and stability
 - Criteria based on the effect on evaluation (not statistical significance)
 - Possible to have inhomogeneous or unstable items

- 4.4.4 Statistical design
 - Meet objectives of scheme
 - Compare participants with each other?
 - Compare results with reference values?
 - Compare results with claimed uncertainty?
 - Nature of data
 - Statistical assumptions
 - Number of observations



- 4.4.5 Assigned values
 - Consider metrological traceability
 - Required in PT for calibration
 - Appropriate traceability in testing
- 4.5 Choice of method or procedure
 - PT provider must understand all methods that could be used by participants
 - Which methods are equivalent?
 - Evaluate results appropriately

- 4.7.2 and 4.8: Technical interpretation
- CASCO WG28 members all agreed that the most important purpose for PT is a tool for laboratory improvement.
- Regulatory & accreditation purposes provide motivation, but can get in the way of education
- PT providers see all methods, see many problems
 - Share that information in reports

Thank you for attention, and for participation in this conference

